Preserved Endothelium-Dependent Vasodilation in Coronary Segments Previously Treated With Balloon Angioplasty and Intracoronary Irradiation
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Background—Abnormal endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion has been reported after balloon angioplasty (BA), as well as after intracoronary radiation. However, the long-term effect on coronary vasomotion is not known. The aim of this study was to evaluate the long-term vasomotion of coronary segments treated with BA and brachytherapy.

Methods and Results—Patients with single de novo lesions treated either with BA followed by intracoronary β-irradiation (according to the Beta Energy Restenosis Trial-1.5) or with BA alone were eligible. Of these groups, those patients in stable condition who returned for 6-month angiographic follow-up formed the study population (n=19, irradiated group and n=11, control group). Endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion was assessed by selective infusion of serial doses of acetylcholine (ACh) proximally to the treated area. Mean lumenal diameter was calculated by quantitative coronary angiography both in the treated area and in distal segments. Endothelial dysfunction was defined as a vasoconstriction after the maximal dose of ACh (10^{-6} mol/L). Seventeen irradiated segments (89.5%) demonstrated normal endothelial function. In contrast, 10 distal nonirradiated segments (53%) and 5 control segments (45%) demonstrated endothelium-dependent vasoconstriction (−19±17% and −9.0±5%, respectively). Mean percentage of change in mean lumenal diameter after ACh was significantly higher in irradiated segments (P=0.01).

Conclusions—Endothelium-dependent vasomotion of coronary segments treated with BA followed by β-radiation is restored in the majority of stable patients at 6-month follow-up. This functional response appeared to be better than those documented both in the distal segments and in segments treated with BA alone. (Circulation. 1999;100:1623-1629.)
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of either the intimal volume (Dv90 lumen) or the adventitial volume (Dv90 Adv) was calculated.

Radiation Delivery System

The Beta-Cath System (Novoste Corp) was used to deliver localized β-radiation to a coronary artery at the site of coronary intervention. The device consists of 3 components: (1) the transfer device, which stores the radiation source train and allows the positioning of these sources within the catheter; (2) the delivery catheter, which is a 5F multilumen, over-the-wire, noncentered catheter that uses saline solution to send and return the radiation source train; and (3) the radiation source train, which consists of a series of 12 independent 2.5-mm-long cylindrical seeds that contain the radioisotope 90Sr/90Y sources and is bordered by 2 gold radiopaque markers at the distal and proximal parts of the 30-mm source train.

Dose Calculation

The actual dose received by the luminal surface was retrospectively calculated by means of dose-volume histograms.13 This method is based on quantitative intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) under the assumption that the radiation source is positioned at the same place as the IVUS catheter. The method of selection of the area of interest on IVUS has been reported previously.13 The IVUS system used was a sheath-based IVUS catheter (ClearView, CVIS, Boston Scientific Corporation) incorporating a 30-MHz single-element transducer rotating at 1800 rpm (Ultracross, CVIS). Image acquisition and digitization were performed by means of an ECG-gated pullback at a step size of 0.2 mm/step.14,15 Volumetric analysis of the irradiated area was performed by a semiautomated contour detection program developed at our institution.16 The feasibility and intraobserver and interobserver variabilities of this system have been reported previously.17–19 The distance between the center of the catheter and both the lumen-intima and media-adventitia interfaces was calculated in 24 pie slices (15° each) in all cross sections corresponding to the irradiated area (30-mm length of the train source). Considering the prescribed dose and the accurate geometric data obtained from the IVUS, the cumulative curve of the dose-volume histogram for a predefined volume (i.e., intima or adventitia) can be obtained (Figure 1). From this curve, for example, the minimal dose received by 90% of either the intimal volume (Dv90 lumen) or the adventitial volume (Dv90 Adv) was calculated.

Endothelial Function Study

Long-acting vasoactive drugs were discontinued ≥48 hours before the study. A percutaneous femoral artery approach and 8F guiding catheter were used in all cases. Endothelium-dependent and -independent coronary vasomotion were studied as described previously in detail:9 after the administration of 10 000 IU of heparin, a 3F infusion catheter (Transit, Cordis) was advanced over a guidewire and placed proximally to the irradiated segment. To avoid wire-induced coronary spasm, the wire was removed. The irradiated segment was identified on the basis of the anatomic landmarks visible on the angiogram performed at the time of the placement of the radiation source. To ensure that the segments were fully bathed by the infusion of acetylcholine chloride (ACh), the tip of the infusion catheter was placed 2 to 3 mm proximal to the proximal border of the irradiated area. To determine the baseline vasomotion, an initial infusion of saline solution for 1 minute through the infusion catheter was performed and a baseline angiogram taken. This was followed by infusion of serial doses of intracoronary ACh, with final estimated intracoronary concentrations of 10−8 to 10−6 mol/L, to assess endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion. The duration of each infusion was 2.5 minutes, followed immediately by angiography. All angiograms were taken with identical views and radiographic characteristics. All infusions were delivered at a rate of 2 mL/min by use of a precision pump injector (Mark V, Medrad, Europe BV). The final blood concentrations of ACh were estimated with the assumption that blood flow in the coronary artery was 80 mL/min.21

Finally, to evaluate endothelium-independent vasomotion, a nitroglycerin (NTG) bolus (2 mg) was administered through the guiding catheter, after which an angiogram identical to those performed previously was done.20 Throughout each infusion, the heart rate, systemic arterial pressure, and ECG were monitored continuously. Because ACh causes endothelium-dependent vessel relaxation in experimental models and in humans,22,23 a paradoxical vasaccostriction after the infusion of this substance is an indicator of endothelial dysfunction.20

The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of our institution, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with the guidelines established by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects.

Quantitative Coronary Angiography

Quantitative coronary angiography was performed after the infusion of saline solution, at the end of each ACh infusion, and after NTG bolus. Angiograms were performed in the 2 orthogonal projections that best showed the artery of interest, without overlapping of side branches and with less foreshortening. Offline analysis was performed by means of the CAAS II system (Pie Medical BV). Calibration of the system was based on dimensions of the catheters not filled with contrast medium. The intraobserver and interobserver variabilities of this method of analysis have been reported previously.24–26 Mean luminal diameter was determined after the infusion of each substance in the irradiated area, in a 15- to 20-mm-long segment distal to the irradiated area and in a contralateral nontreated artery that served as a control. Mean luminal diameter was averaged for the 2 projections, and the percentage of change relative to baseline was noted. All quantitative measurements were averaged by the same investigator (M.S.). Intraobserver variability was assessed by reanalysis of the quantitative coronary angiography of a series of 15 studies (150 repeated measures in total) ≥3 months apart. Intraobserver differences (mean±2 SD) in mean luminal diameter were as follows: 0.7±2.7% for baseline values, 0.8±2.9% after maximal dose of ACh, and 0.7±2.6% after NTG. The intraclass correlation coefficient (R2) for repeated measures was 0.97 for baseline values, 0.96 for maximal-dose ACh values, and 0.98 for NTG values. We considered endothelial dysfunction a vasoconstriction of the segment studied after the maximal dose of ACh beyond the variability of the method of analysis (>3%).

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean±SD or proportions. To compare continuous variables, 2-tailed Student’s t test, ANOVA for repeated measurements, and linear regression analysis were performed when appropriate. A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. Dose-volume histogram showing cumulative dose received at level of luminal surface and adventitia layer. Minimal dose received by 90% of lumen (Dv90 Lumen) and adventitia (Dv90 Adv) was calculated.
Results

Baseline Characteristics

Baseline characteristics of both irradiated and control patients are presented in Table 1. Angiographic restenosis (diameter stenosis >50%) was observed within the irradiated area in 3 patients (16%). Fourteen patients (74%) remained asymptomatic, whereas 5 (26%) presented with angina pectoris Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) class 1 (n = 1), 2 (n = 1), or 3 (n = 3). None of the patients in the control group showed angiographic restenosis, and only 2 presented with angina pectoris CCS class 1. No differences were observed between the degree of stenosis at follow-up and the vasomotor response. Five patients in the control group (45%) showed endothelial dysfunction in the treated area (−9.0 ± 5% of vasoconstriction at ACh 10−6 mol/L). Mean percentages of change in mean luminal diameter after infusion of different substances between the irradiated and control patients and between irradiated and distal nonirradiated segments are presented in Figures 2 and 3. Mean percentage change in diameter after ACh was 3.8 ± 7.1% in the irradiated segments compared with −3.2 ± 7% and −6.6 ± 10% in the control group and in the distal nonirradiated segments, respectively (P = 0.01). No significant differences in percentage of change in mean luminal diameter either in irradiated or in distal segments were observed between the 3 coronary vessels after either ACh or NTG. Examples of coronary segments with vasodilation of the irradiated area and vasoconstriction of the distal nonirradiated segment after ACh infusion are depicted in Figures 4 and 5. All of the segments experienced vasodilation after NTG, which is indicative of normal smooth muscle vasomotion (Figures 2 and 3).

Coronary Vasomotion Study

No significant changes in mean aortic pressure and heart rate were observed during the ACh infusion in either group. Mean luminal diameters after infusion of each substance in irradiated and distal nonirradiated segments and in the control group are presented in Table 2. Seventeen irradiated segments (89.5%) demonstrated normal endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion (16 segments with a vasodilatory response [5.0 ± 3% of change in mean luminal diameter after ACh] and 1 with no change in mean luminal diameter [−0.1% of change after ACh infusion]). On the other hand, endothelial dysfunction was demonstrated in 2 irradiated segments (10.5%): 1 with angiographic restenosis and angina pectoris CCS class 3 and the other with angina pectoris CCS class 1 without restenosis (−5.2% and −7.8% of vasoconstriction after maximal dose of ACh, respectively). In contrast, 10 (53%) of the distal nonirradiated segments demonstrated endothelial dysfunction (−19.5 ± 17% of vasoconstriction after maximal dose of ACh). No significant de novo stenosis was observed at

distal segments. No significant correlation was demonstrated between the degree of stenosis at follow-up and the vasomotor response. Five patients in the control group (45%) showed endothelial dysfunction in the treated area (−9.0 ± 5% of vasoconstriction at ACh 10−6 mol/L). Mean percentages of change in mean luminal diameter after infusion of the different substances between the irradiated and control patients and between irradiated and distal nonirradiated segments are presented in Figures 2 and 3. Mean percentage change in diameter after ACh was 3.8 ± 7.1% in the irradiated segments compared with −3.2 ± 7% and −6.6 ± 10% in the control group and in the distal nonirradiated segments, respectively (P = 0.01). No significant differences in percentage of change in mean luminal diameter either in irradiated or in distal segments were observed between the 3 coronary vessels after either ACh or NTG. Examples of coronary segments with vasodilation of the irradiated area and vasoconstriction of the distal nonirradiated segment after ACh infusion are depicted in Figures 4 and 5. All of the segments experienced vasodilation after NTG, which is indicative of normal smooth muscle vasomotion (Figures 2 and 3).

Radiation Dose Calculation

Mean prescribed radiation dose was 14 ± 1.9 Gy at 2 mm to the source. However, when dose-volume histograms were applied, the calculated minimal dose received by 90% of the

---

**TABLE 1. Baseline Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Irradiation Group (n=19)</th>
<th>Control Group (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>55±8</td>
<td>58±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (74)</td>
<td>10 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated artery, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior descending</td>
<td>10 (53)</td>
<td>10 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left circumflex</td>
<td>6 (31)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right coronary</td>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary risk factors, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic hypertension</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>14 (74)</td>
<td>7 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>6 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal luminal diameter</td>
<td>1.7±0.6</td>
<td>1.6±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter stenosis, %</td>
<td>39±17</td>
<td>34±7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous data are presented as mean±SD.

**TABLE 2. Coronary Vasomotor Response (Mean Luminal Diameter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Irradiated Segment (n=19)</th>
<th>Distal Segment (n=19)</th>
<th>Control Group (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2.46±0.4</td>
<td>2.20±0.4</td>
<td>2.38±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.49±0.4</td>
<td>2.19±0.5</td>
<td>2.35±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.52±0.4</td>
<td>2.13±0.5</td>
<td>2.33±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>2.55±0.5*</td>
<td>2.07±0.7</td>
<td>2.31±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTG</td>
<td>2.62±0.4†</td>
<td>2.33±0.6‡</td>
<td>2.58±0.5‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD (in mm).

*p=0.03, †p=0.0004, ‡p=0.01 with respect to baseline values.

---

**Figure 2.** Percentage of change in mean luminal diameter after infusion of each substance in irradiated segments and in control group. Irradiated segments showed, on average, an increase in mean luminal diameter after infusion of ACh, which is indicative of normal endothelial function, whereas control group demonstrated on average vasoconstriction, which is indicative of endothelial dysfunction. Endothelium-independent coronary vasomotion was preserved in both groups. BL indicates baseline.
Luminal surface was 8.2±3.8 Gy, whereas $D_{90\text{ Adv}}$ was 5.2±1.9 Gy. Only 6 patients (31.5%) received on average $>10$ Gy at luminal surface, and only 2 patients (10.5%) received on average $>8$ Gy at the adventitial layer. No significant correlation was found between endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion and the calculated $D_{90\text{ lu-}}$ men ($r=-0.03; P=\text{NS}$). Similarly, no significant correlation was observed between the coronary vasomotor response to NTG and $D_{90\text{ Adv}}$ ($r=0.03; P=\text{NS}$).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates for the first time that the endothelium-dependent vasomotor function of coronary segments treated with BA followed by $\beta$-radiation is restored in the majority of stable patients at 6-month follow-up. This functional response observed in irradiated segments appeared to be better than that documented both in distal nonirradiated segments and in segments treated only with BA.

An impairment of endothelial function has been reported at up to 3 to 6 months after BA. It has been demonstrated that soon after balloon-induced injury, there is a release of von Willebrand factor and endothelin as markers of endothelial injury. Experimental studies have demonstrated that the endothelium regenerates at follow-up. However, the endothelium appeared to still be dysfunctional, which may cause the release of endothelium-dependent contracting factors and the alteration of endothelial muscarinic receptors.

Endothelial dysfunction in distal nontreated segments is a common finding in atherosclerotic coronary arteries after percutaneous interventions. An alteration of autoregulation due to chronic hypoperfusion may be implicated in the distal abnormal responsiveness to ACh. Furthermore, the presence of coronary risk factors may have a deleterious effect on distal coronary vasomotion.

In contrast, most of the irradiated segments exhibited normal endothelium-dependent vasomotion, and all of them presented a normal response to NTG. Wiedermann et al demonstrated restoration of endothelial function after high-dose (20 Gy) $\gamma$-radiation in a non–balloon-injured animal model. However, a diffuse fibrosis of the smooth muscle layer, probably responsible for the loss of response to NTG, was detected on histological analysis. Our findings confirmed these experimental observations in terms of endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion. The lack of paradoxical vasoconstriction may be explained by an alteration of the muscular media, which may demonstrate an impairment in response to endothelium-dependent vasoregulatory signals. However, vasodilation rather than lack of constriction was the vasomotor response demonstrated in all but 1 of the irradiated segments with normal endothelial function. The vasomotor response to NTG remained unaltered and comparable between groups, which suggests an absence of radiation-induced impairment of the medial layer. In an experimental model, endothelial cells as well as vascular smooth muscle cells were inhibited in a dose-dependent manner. However, at a moderate range of $\beta$-particle delivery (0.4 to 6 Gy), but not at a high dose (10 Gy), endothelial cells appeared to be more radioresistant than vascular smooth muscle cells. The relatively low dose of radiation received by the treated segments may account for this normal functional behavior. In fact, none
of the patients actually received on average >10 Gy at the
level of the adventitia, as assessed by dose-volume
histograms.

On the other hand, an experimental model of porcine
coronary arteries subjected to balloon overstretch injury and
either placebo or radiation with 18 Gy demonstrated that
expression of enzyme-inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS),
responsible for NO production, was enhanced, whereas ex-
pression of the cytokine transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-
β1) was suppressed in the irradiated group. iNOS is poten-
tially responsible for inhibition of neointimal hyperplasia and
stimulation of reendothelialization, whereas TGF-β1 would
enhance intimal hyperplasia and fibrosis by negatively mod-
ulating the expression of iNOS. Moreover, it has been
demonstrated in experimental models that radiation causes
dose- and time-dependent impairment of endothelium-dep-
endent relaxation and that low-dose radiation would
induce an anti-inflammatory reaction through specific dose-
dependent modulation of the NO pathway. It remains to be
seen whether this chain reaction after radiation would result
in a late reduction in the restenosis rate. However, restoration
of endothelial function may play an important role in this
regard.

Study Limitations
Because the use of ACh in unstable patients is not exempt of risk
of coronary occlusion, only stable patients were evaluated.

This study assessed patients receiving β-radiation by
means of a noncentering device. The actual dose received by
the treated segment was rather low; thus, the effect of a higher
dose or of different devices that allow a more homogeneous
dose distribution remains to be evaluated.

We assessed the vasomotion of the 3 coronary arteries in
the irradiated group, which have a potentially different degree
of vasoreactivity to ACh. However, the 3 arteries demon-
strated comparable vasomotor responses to ACh and NTG
both at the irradiated and the distal segments, which over-
comes this potential limitation.

We assumed that coronary vasomotion immediately after
treatment is markedly impaired, as demonstrated in experi-
mental models and in humans. Taking into account the
risk of coronary occlusion in such situation, it was considered
unethical to determine endothelium-dependent vasomotion
immediately after the coronary intervention. Thus, the degree
of recovery of coronary vasomotion could not be evaluated.

We also assumed that the IVUS and delivery catheters
were lying in the same position in the treated coronary

Figure 5. Coronary angiogram showing moderate vasoconstriction of distal nontreated coronary segment after infusion of maximal
dose of ACh, which is indicative of endothelial dysfunction.
segment. The size of the IVUS catheter is smaller (2.9F, \(\approx 1\) mm) than the brachytherapy device (5F), which is thus to some extent more centered in the lumen. Although the catheters should be on the shortest 3D path in the lumen, coronary arteries have a complex curved geometry in space and can be partially deformed by the catheters. Thus, catheters with different rigidity may occupy different positions. The development of new systems that incorporate the IVUS imaging element on the delivery catheter might resolve this drawback.

During irradiation, the position of the delivery catheter inside the lumen is not fixed and may vary during the cardiac cycle because of ventricular contractions, which may lead to some degree of inhomogeneity not assumed by data derived from the static end-diastolic IVUS images.
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